billion and a big increase for one of the most wasteful, least effective organizations in the entire Federal Government. This organization is the Job Corps, and it is presently spending more than \$25,000 per year per Job Corps student. Yet the GAO has confirmed that very few Job Corps students, only about 4 percent, end up in jobs for which they were trained. For this \$25,000 per year per student, we could give each of these young people a \$1,000 a month allowance, send them to some expensive private school and still save money. They would probably think they had almost gone to heaven. This money will be approved because there are more than 110 Job Corps centers spread politically all over the country, and because most people mistakenly assume that this money is going to underprivileged young people. Yet the kids are not getting this money. The only ones really benefiting are wealthy government contractors and the bureaucrats who are running the program.

SUPPORT MOLLOHAN AMENDMENT FOR A FAIR AND ACCURATE CENSUS

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, the debate over the census should be about how to get a fair and an accurate count in the year 2000. We need to make sure that everyone counts in this country, everyone. The Census Bureau consulted the experts at the National Academy of Sciences, who recommended a plan to use the latest scientific methods to supplement the traditional head count. It would also save taxpayers millions of dollars. A more accurate, less costly census, that is the plan that the Democrats support. But the Republicans in this body want to overrule the experts.

That is a bad idea. The census is too important to fall victim to partisan politics. The census data directly affects decisions made on funding for education, veterans services, public health care, the environment and housing. In America, every family should count. Every child should count. Every senior should count. Every veteran should count.

Support a fair and an accurate census. Support the Mollohan amendment.

CENSUS MUST FOLLOW CONSTITUTIONAL MANDATE

(Mr. EWING asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. EWING. Mr. Speaker, the last speaker talked about the census. There is one overriding requirement for the census, that it follow the constitutional mandate for an actual count. Now, all the great things that have been said about doing it the other way

really do not follow the constitutional mandate. It is easy to get up and say, "Well, it will cost less money. We are going to count everybody."

Of course we want to count everybody. That is the issue. We do not believe you will get an accurate count by sampling. The Constitution does not provide for a count by sampling. It requires an actual enumeration. So the Democrats do not want to follow the Constitution. The Republicans do. We believe that is the requirement. We are willing to pay the cost. We want an accurate count.

AMERICA NEEDS A FAIR AND ACCURATE CENSUS

(Ms. McKINNEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. McKINNEY. Mr. Speaker, the census is America's family portrait. I would like to bring attention to my staff. We thought we would take a family portrait. Unfortunately, this is what my staff would look like after a Republican census. If the Republicans have their way, some of my staff will disappear, because the Republicans do not want a fair and accurate census. Republicans are absolutely satisfied with certain people not being counted because it preserves their political power.

In the year 2000, the only way we are going to make sure that every man, woman and child is included in America's family portrait is by putting Republican racial fearmongering aside and let the Census Bureau do its job. America needs a fair and accurate census.

MANAGED CARE REFORM

(Mr. GEJDENSON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GEJDENSON. Mr. Speaker, just in case there are any questions left about what is wrong with health care in America and the failure of the Republican proposal in this House, my family has had another opportunity to see America's present health care system up close and personal.

My brother, who runs the dairy farm that we live on, woke up one morning with the right side of his face paralyzed from blind tick palsy. He had no sensation on the right side of his face. "Silly brother," Ike thought, "this was serious." So he went to the emergency room. But not his insurance company. They rejected the claim.

Americans are being injured and harassed by the present system. We need to applaud President Clinton for his efforts to move health care forward and let doctors and hospitals make decisions about health care and not the profits of the managed care companies.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM

(Mr. FARR of California asked and was given permission to address the

House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FARR of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise to point out that last night we had quite a victory in this House on campaign finance reform. We had a victory on an amendment, a small step. It is not the answer. The answer is comprehensive campaign reform. People fail to realize that in the elections last time, running for this seat in the House of Representatives cost over half a billion dollars for all the candidates. That was what was reported, because there are a lot of ads done by independent agencies that are not reported.

So, Mr. Speaker, if we are going to have meaningful campaign finance reform, we are going to have to put limits on what candidates can spend. That amendment is up today. We are going to have a great debate and we are going to see whether this House can live up to what it has done in 1991, 1992 and 1993, when we passed comprehensive campaign reform that really put limits on campaigns. Shays-Meehan is a step in the right direction, but it is not the answer.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. DICKEY). Pursuant to the provisions of clause 5 of rule I, the Chair announces that he will postpone further proceedings today on each motion to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote is objected to under clause 4 of rule XV.

Such rollcall votes, if postponed, will be taken after debate has concluded on all motions to suspend the rules.

REQUIRING OSHA TO RECOGNIZE THAT ELECTRONIC FORMS AND PAPER COPIES PROVIDE THE SAME LEVEL OF ACCESS TO IN-FORMATION

Mr. BALLENGER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4037) to require the Occupational Safety and Health Administration to recognize that electronic forms of providing Material Safety Data Sheets provide the same level of access to information as paper copies and to improve the presentation of safety and emergency information on such Data Sheets, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 4037

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. ELECTRONIC ACCESS.

In the administration and enforcement of the regulation on Hazard Communication, published at 29 C.F.R. Sec. 1910.1200, the Secretary shall provide that an employer complies with the requirement of maintaining and making readily accessible to employees material safety data sheets (MSDS) for each hazardous chemical if such employer makes the MSDS available through electronic access, so long as—